UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

. APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,743	09/30/2004	Kazuo Miyazawa	0038-0447PUS1	4365
	7590 11/30/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		NGUYEN, PHONG H		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3724	
	,			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	11/30/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

1
CD)
VY

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astissa Comment	10/509,743	MIYAZAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phong H. Nguyen	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ T 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allocation of the closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,4,11 and 13 is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,5-10,12 and 14-18 is/are rejec 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an Application Papers	re withdrawn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	, niner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 10, 12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCornmick.

Regarding claims 1 and 10, McCormick teaches a method for manufacturing a tissue section comprising the steps of:

-slicing an organism specimen 26, whose form has been fixed by freezing along a slicing surface (it is to be noted that the temperature inside the tank 20 is subzero, and the specimen is formed from a frozen specimen.);

-adjusting a distance between a slicing surface of a specimen and one side of a film 24 by means 34;

-adjusting a temperature difference between the specimen and the film (the temperature of oil 22 is adjustable. Therefore the temperatures of the specimen and the film are adjustable); and

-running the film 24 at a speed in synchronism with a slicing speed of the tissue section.

Regarding claims 3 and 12, the oily environment 22 adjusts the temperature of the film 24 for picking up a tissue 26.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 14 and 15, a plurality of rollers (44, 40a and 48) is best seen in Fig. 1. A close roller 40 is best seen in Fig. 1.

Regarding claim 7, running the film 24 at a speed in synchronism with a slicing speed of the tissue section is best seen in Fig. 1.

Regarding claim 16, the running film speed and the slicing speed are controllable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick.

McCormick teaches a ratio of the running film speed and the slicing speed but does not teach a specific value of the ratio of 1.2-0.8. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a ratio of 1.2-0.8 since it relates to repeated experimental processes but not an inventive concept.

5. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick in view of Ullberg (3,690,988).

Art Unit: 3724

McCormick teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for a transparent film.

Ullberg teaches using a transparent film for conveying tissues. See col. 4, lines 50-55. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a transparent film as taught by Ullberg in the microtome machine of McCormick for better viewing tissues.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 09/11/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that McCormick does not teach the limitation of the specimen being formed from a frozen specimen in the air. This argument is not persuasive. The first step of claims 1 and 10 calls for the specimen is formed by freezing OR by using an embedding, in air, along a slicing surface. McCormick teaches slicing the specimen in a subzero environment and from a frozen specimen. Therefore, McCormick anticipates the first step of claims 1 and 10.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3724

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy V Eley/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 3724

PN:

•

November 19, 2007